Previous references.
S & A 482-11 881-2.

File No. 357-11

1911-12

Serial Nos. 1-7

(S & A.)

Later references.
7 Sept 12. S&A 1144. S&A 643-11
S&A 63-13. & 102, 116
B for Jan 15
S&A 128-1585, 1812, B. March 16.
S&A 128-15 (3+4)
4 116-19 a (d 2, 5 Jan 1920.
GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
S&A 161-20-(1-4)

Serial Nos. 1-7.

Part B. for June 1912

SUBJECT.

Pension to S Rama Rao late Sheri
Of the Gundlupet Taluk.

---

1 From S. Rama Rao. d. 30-12-11
Prays for the grant of full pension
Either by including Mujrai Service or treat
the Service rendered as Mutchi as "Superior

2. From D.C. Mysore &c
No 325 d. 8-1-12.
Forwards the pension papers of S. Rama
B. S. 58 Rao with his own recommendation for full
pension.

P.T.O.

3. To The Comptroller and D.C. Mysore Dl:-
No 7E 4166-7 d 30-3-12.

Directs that S RamaRao be allowed the full pension and that his pension be ~~debat~~ debited to State and Muzri funds according to rule of proportions. and returns the pension papers to the Controller for Verification and report.

4. From The Comptroller
No 213. d. 18-4-12.
PVA 9844

Submits the pension application of S Rama Rao and reports that a Superannuation pension not Exceeding Rs 25/- p.m. is admissible to him.

5 To The Comptroller & D C. Mysore Dl:-
No 7E 4841-2 d. 13-5-12.

Sanctions a pension of Rs 25/-

6 From The Comptroller
No 7293 d 30-5-12
310

Requests to be informed of the name of the institution from the funds of which the Sum of Rs 7-3-4 debitable to the Muzri funds is to be paid.

7 To The Comptroller
No 7E 5363 d 14-6-12

States that Rs 7-3-4 is ~~dot~~ debitable to the funds of Sri Chamarajeswara Swami Temple at Chamarajanagar.

(1

G.R.S. 11] 1911–12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Sta.)

NOTES.

File No. 357.

FILE HEADING.

Pension to S. Rama Rao late Sheristadar of the Gundlupet Taluk.

From

S. Rama Rao.

No. Dated 30-12-11 Received 3-1-12

Diary No. 2240.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial. No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays for the grant of full pension either by including the Muzri Service or treating the Service rendered as Inamdar as "Superior".

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The petitioner's pension application has not been received duly verified and reported on by the Comptroller. The Comptroller's report may be awaited.

Submit for orders.

DOR 247

9-1-12

10.1.12

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12-8-11

G. P. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta File No. 357 of 1911

Serial No.	
2	From the Deputy Comr Mysore District, No. 325 dt 8-1-12 Forwards the pension papers of S. Rama Rao late Sheristedar of the Gundlupett Taluk and states that the Comptroller has disallowed the Muzrai Service of the official as non-qualifying. Brings to the notice of the Govt the hard lot which falls to the share of poor officials who serve in the Muzrai branch of the Dt and taluk offices by treating such Service as non-pensionable, and requests that a general orders may be issued on the subject: 2) Whether the Muzrai Service of officials working in the District and Taluk offices of the Mysore can be counted for pension is the Salient point at issue: As this is a question of innovation, the Muzrai Secrt and the Comptroller may be consulted with advantage. Submd for orders. S.L-145 24.1.12 Ag Secretary stated that a very similar case was recently sent to the Muzrai Secrt for disposal. Would really facilitate the disposal of this case if the RR 3) 24.1.12 papers be traced and connected to some other file. Please put that up [signature]

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SHA File No. 357 of 191(

Serial No.

4) A gratuity of one year's pay was sanctioned as a special case to Rama Rao, retired Shekdar, in consideration of his long service of 29 years + odd in a Muzrai institution. (muzrai file 634-09) Attention is invited to the Financial Secretary's opinion expressed on page 4 of the notes wherein he says that such service cannot generally be considered pensionable.

5) One instance in which a pension of Rs 10/- a month was sanctioned is also put up. Both these items of expenditure are debitable to the funds of the Sri Chamarajeswara Swami Temple at Chamarajanagar.

6) As regards the question under consideration, Rama Rao retired ~~Taluk~~ Sheristadar has put in a service of 8 years, 3 months & 29 days in the Muzrai Dept as Head clerk of the Dt Office, Mysore. Whether this period is to be treated as qualifying for pension is the point for orders.

7) The Dy Comm Mysore Dt, believes that the procedure followed in his Dtn is followed in other Dts. But it is ascertained that

Muzrai 420-08 Hey O

Para 6 of the Financial Secretary's note

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sft File No. 357 of 1911

Serial No.

The pay of the officials in the Muzrai Department of the Districts is debitable to Land Revenues, while that of the officials of the Mysore Dn. alone is debitable to the funds. Submitted for orders.

Mys. 83
27/1/12

8) It is understood that all Muzrai servants except those in the Mysore Dt. are entitled to pension. No difference is made between Muzrai service and service in other departments. It is only in the Mysore Dt. that such a difference is made and Muzrai servants are not considered eligible for pension from State funds. When Pensions are granted at all, they are paid from temple funds.

Mys. Dist. No. 15
1/2/12

9) Br. VII may be consulted as to the reasons for the difference in treatment between Mysore and the other districts with respect to the pension of Muzrai servants.

R.R.
29-1-12

(10) Br VII for favour of remarks

6/29.1.12

11) The Mysore Dy. Comr. has recommended

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta File No. 357 of 1911-12

Serial No.

the Dy Comrs office mur: Officials being granted pensions for their mur: services & the matter is pending disposal.

BG 12) Please look up this file relating
29.1.12 to the entertainment of Mysore
Dy Commr's Office Mugrari Establishment
that must have why a distinction was made
between them & Mysore Offices 3/1/1912
& other Offices.

A.V.

X Z.D 13) File No. 161 of 06 re Mysore Distt mur: Estt is submitted.

14) It is submitted that actually there is no such distinction as is referred to above between the Mysore Dt. clerical Staff & the other Distts. In all districts except ~~Kolar~~ Mysore, the mur: work has been entrusted to some Clerk, specially Sayer accdt, and his pay is paid from Genl Revenues.

15) In ~~the~~ Mysore Dt, the pay of the clerical Estt — there is a sep: Clerical Estt for mur: work in ~~this~~ Distt, is met from the Sri Chamarajeswara Swami temple funds. As the salary of this Estt is met from the temple funds, no pension is allowed

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GRS—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta File No. 357 of 1911-12

Serial No.

to these; but the matter is under the consideration of Govt. in the Mysr: dept.

16) A portion of the salt of the Mysr: Sanpth. office and the Mysr: branch of the Sanpth. office are being paid from the funds of Sri Kalaseswara swami & Chamarajeswara swami temples. Their service has by a spl. order of Govt. been made pensionable, the necessary pensionary contributions being waived.

17) In the case now in point, the official was appointed Mysr: Clerk in the Mysore Dt. office in the course of his service & for the period he was doing Mysr: work his pay was probably met from the Chamarajanagar Temple funds. The whole of his service will have to be considered as pensionable, the contributions for his Mysr: service being waived or recovered from Temple funds just as Govt. are pleased to order.

16 of 1908 is a recent ple.

R.G. 1.2.12

I wish to know when this Dt. office Mysr: Spl. was originally sanctioned & what orders there are about his pay

C.R.I. Mysr

1/2/1912

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta File No. 357 of 1911-12

Serial No.

Note Continued:-

19) This is not the first time that the question of granting pensions to the Muzrai Establishment has come up

20) When in Govt. order No. 2079-80 G.H. dated 17th April 1903 (Papers missing) a pension of Rs 10/- a month from the funds of the Chamarajnagar Temple was, as a Special Case, sanctioned to Annadorai Iyengar who was Poojari of that Temple and it was added that it was only a concession which could not be claimed as a matter of right by other Muzrai Servants, the then Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore district in his letter No. 234 dated 25th Feby 1904 brought the hard lot of the Muzrai Establishment to the notice of the Govt. Thereupon a Circular (No. 3190-8 Misc 17-03 dated 11th April 1904) was issued to Deputy Commissioners calling for information about the Officials employed in the Muzrai Branch of the Inam Department. When this information was received it was regarded as incomplete and further information about Muzrai

L

M

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta File No. 357 of 1911-12

Serial No.

Murrai Servants such as Temple Peshkars and other officials of Temples, Chattrams and Mosques whose posts are not hereditary was called for

21) This information was not received and the matter was not pursued in the diligence (Vide file No. 17 of 1903 herewith put up).

K

22) This question was engaging my attention for some time until I left the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Mr., I wanted for a Concrete instance to arise in order to discuss the matter.

23) This opportunity was afforded by the Mysore Deputy Commissioner's letter No. 365 dated 6th March 1911 in which he enquires "Whether the officials in the Murrai Branch of the District office may be treated as borne on the permanent pensionable Service of the Government and permitted to submit proposals for insurance in the Mysore State." (Vide file No. 594-10 put up herewith) The notes in that Case file show that the discussion of the question has resulted in the Financial Branch agreeing to consider Murrai Service as foreign Service provided the

j

1

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta File No. 357 of 1911-12

Serial No.

the Municipalities which contributed towards the pay of the Municipal clerks working in divisions or Taluk offices contributed towards their leave and pension allowances.

24) Though this would materially improve the prospects of the Servants and make their Service as good as permanent Government Service it would involve extra cost to the Municipalities. So I was looking about for a way to obviate this also. I was thinking of Servants in the Municipal, Local Boards and State Insurance Departments whose Service is pensionable though paid from Special funds. Perhaps the pensions too are debited to these funds.

25) The Municipal funds are not separate funds like Municipal funds, Local funds or Insurance funds. They are a portion of the Provincial Revenue set apart for expenditure on Municipal objects. So far as I, a layman in accounts & financial matters, can see there can be no distinction between this fund and say the Budget grant for Public Works or Military.

26) The State collects Specific about 3 1/2 Lacs for Municipal purposes & as

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S 1a File No. 357 of 1911-12

Serial No.

necessarily to see that this amount is properly spent. Naturally this involves the maintenance of an establishment for supervising and for checking and auditing accounts. These charges though difficult fairly be met from Provincial funds. The meeting of the pay of this establishment from Temple Funds is itself a relief to Provincial Revenue which may fairly be called upon to meet the cost of Famine.

27) I further beg that in Art 763 of the British Service Regulations, the recovery of Contribution is waived in the case of Medical officers lent to Charitable dispensaries and Vaccinators and other officers of the Vaccination Department lent to Municipalities.

28) Then in the case of Durga's Establishments in Districts and Taluk offices paid from Temple funds Govt may, As they have already done in the case of the establishment of the Durgah Superintendent's office and Comptroller's office, waive the Contribution.

29) While I was Considering these

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta File No. 357 of 1911-12

Serial No.

Dr. Fuller the Deputy Commissioner over
forwarded to me as Murrai Superintendent
a Copy of his letter to the Financial
Secretary about Shrinivasa Rama Rao's pension
Application and Asked me to strongly
support his recommendation.

30) When I was about to address
Govt about this the File has been
referred by Br. II to this Branch for
opinion.

31) There is not much for me to add
to what the Deputy Commissioner has
written. He has rightly made a distinction
between Murrai Servants working
in Temples and those working in the
Taluk, District, Murrai Superintendents'
and Comptrollers' offices. He and I are
at once in recommending pensions to the
latter Class of officials only. While provision
has been made for the pension of the
Murrai establishments in the Murrai
Superintendents' and Comptrollers' offices
nothing has been done for the District
Office establishments. It is quite natural
that the Deputy Commissioner should
Complain of this.

32) It is only the Mepore District so far

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000-12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta File No. 357 of 1911-12

Serial No.

far as distrcts offices are concerned this is affected and not others; for in this District work is heavy and a large establishment is necessary. Govt not being willing to bear this Comparatively large cost and conveniently finding this rich temple of Chamarajnagar in this District debits the entire cost of the establishment to the funds of this Institution; and the Comptroller applies the rule that if the pay is not met from Provincial Funds the pension cannot also be met therefrom.

33) It would perhaps be useful if Temple Peshkari is also made pensionable and deserving Taluk Gumasthas or others appointed as Peshkars. But as there are now a few retired officials as Peshkars the matter need not be considered now.

34) Before concluding I would invite reference to Question No. 15 put in the Madras Legislative Council meeting on the 14th Inst about the Contribution from Municipalities for establishment in Collectors Offices (Madras Mail. Debt. 14/1912 Page 7. Pro rata

H

slip. H.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta File No. 357 of 19 11-12.

Serial No.

as hereinafter)

35) As stated by the Deputy Commissioner the pension to the Murrai establishment of Dobies and Palace officers is a question requiring to be attended to at once.

36) As the settlement of this question may take some time Sheristadar Rama Rao may be given the full pension he is entitled to and a portion debited to the Chamarajnagar Temple funds.

37) Returned to Branch II

Ambabhoy
20/2/12

Mun. Branch file pertg. to this Sub

1) 420-08-Sta 1-2
2) 161-06 Sta 1-4
3) 639 of 07 Sta 1-11
4) 17 of 03
5) 594-10 } all pertaining
6) 67 of 1897 } file

Mun. Br. No 15

Subs.
Dy 27
21-2-12

38) PRR 21-2-12 There would be no objection to the Murrai service paid from the funds of a temple be recognised as service to reckon the pension according to the rules prescribed. Considering the hardships entailed it would perhaps be better to rule that service paid from temple funds qualifies pension as service paid from Palace funds & the pension would be distributed according to the rules & proportions. Mun. Br. Circle

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S La File No. 357 of 1911-12.

Serial No.

the necessity for demanding Contribution.

(Romanna) 11.2.12

39) I am for making service in Dist or Taluk offices as a mark. Official pensionable from Govt funds. The Under Secretary is not altogether right when he says that there is no distinction between Govt funds & other funds. So far as temple He may be right if we have regard only to State grant; but in respect of funds of temples, they are certainly not general public funds.

40) I do not think there is any harm in regarding service of dist. & Taluk employes as paid from

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta File No. 357 of 1911-12

Serial No.

General revenues.

41) If the Dewan is unable to agree to this, I would suggest that the Concession noted by the Hl Asst Secy may at least be given.

42) In the case of Surstedar Rama Rau, I think he should be given full pension without deducting his service as Mun. Hd ~~Gumaster~~ Clerk, and as he did not ~~[illegible]~~ apparently agree to become head ~~clk~~ clerk on the understanding that he would forego the benefit of such service, it would be unfair to mulct him of part of the pension. If indispensable, the additional charge may be adjusted in the manner suggested by the Mun. R.S.

15221
24-2-12

Dewan

22/2/12

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta File No. 357 of 1911-12

Serial No.

43) Sheristadar Rama Row may be allowed the full pension he is entitled to without deducting his service as Muzroi Head clerk. The pension will be debited to State funds & Muzroi funds, according to Rule of proportions as proposed in Mr Lakshmi Narasimha Row's note —

44) I understand that the general question raised is in regard to the Mysore District only — If the 1st Councillor has no objection, all future cases may be dealt with as above suggested for 'Rama Row' case —

45) 1st Councillor

46 I agree —

8/3/12

17.

PB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta File No. 357 of 1911-12.

Serial No.

48 Draft subl.
DMR-47
14-3-12

49 15/3 Select

15.3.12

50 In the draft order, the particular class of officials to whom the pensionary concession is to apply is not clearly indicated. It will cause a lot of trouble heraafter if this point is left in doubt now. It is, therefore, desirable to issue a general order on the subject (on the lines of the Government Order granting pension to Municipal employees) and to annex to it a list of the posts to which the Government Order will apply, (the list to be furnished by the Muzrai Branch and to include only the posts attached to the District and Taluk Offices and not those meant for the internal administration of the Muzrai institutions). Throughout the discussion in the file, it is assumed that the concession is necessary only in the case of the Muzrai officials of the District and Taluk offices in the Mysore District and that similar posts are non-existent in other districts. But I find similar posts elsewhere as well. A few instances are quoted below and it is for the Muzrai Branch to prepare an exhaustive list and obtain orders as to the advisability of extending the present rule to them.

Arsikere Taluq. Sumasta, Pay Rs.10----| Rs.5 from Arsikere Chattram
| Rs.5 from Banavar Chattram

Bellur

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

F. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. S & A 357 of 1911--12.

Serial No.

Belur Taluq Gumasta,	Pay	Rs.10--	Rs.7 from Belur Chennakesava Temple Rs.3 ,, Chatram.
	,,		
Manjarabad	,,	Rs.10	From Bisle Chatram
Hassan	,,	Rs.10	Rs.6 from Hassan Chatram Rs.4 from Grama Chatram.
X Channrayapatnam		Rs.10	Rs.7 from Sravana Belagola Mutt Rs.3 from Channa-royapatna Chatram.

51 The order will, of course, not apply to officials who have retired before it is issued.

52 In the case of Rama Rau, the whole of the Muzrai service being in the District Office (and not in any institution) may be counted and pension granted, according to the rule of proportions. His case may be the first under the new general rules suggested above.

JSChamavatti
22.3.12

53 To Muz. Dy. for note.

23/3/12

54. It is now seen that the Muzrai Branch was not correct in stating

NOTE--Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta File No. 357 of 1911-12

Serial No.

that posts of the kind in question existed only in the Mysore District. It is said that information is not available in the Office as to where else in the State such posts exist, and this information can only be accurately gathered by issuing a circular order to the Dr Comrs to inquire and submit reports with detailed lists. This will necessarily take some time and the issue, as suggested by the Financial Secretary, of a general order ~~is to issue~~ with a list annexed to it of the posts to which the Order will apply, will only be possible after the reports of all the Dr Comrs are received. The pending pension application of RamaRau can not obviously be allowed to lie over till the issue of such a general order. I beg therefore to suggest that the draft order put up by Br II with the pencil alteration indicated ~~by~~ ~~is~~ therein may be issued at once, and further action taken afterwards for the issue of a general order on the lines indicated by the Fl Secretary

~~Dr Comrs~~ To Br II for further remarks.

26/8/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

(20

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta File No. 357 of 1911-12

Serial No.

SJ.
Subd 6th. 19)
M247. 56 Mr. Ramakrishna
28-3-12 Care may issue the permanent
rackets omitted altogether.
The further particulars may be
called for & the Secretariat Clerk
Care at the time issued
later on.

18/Joint Secy

28/3/12

Issue this order & work
up the other matter early
afterwards.

28/3/12

Issue 29/3/12

VII 2/4 NW.
No 26.154
dt 1-4-12
with 3 files

No.
Forwarded to Sr VII for favour of supplying
the particulars required in the Secy's
note above at as long a date.

By order
[illegible]
for Secretary to Govt

29.3.12.

C.G.R.
Rule circular
Calling for the info

NOTE—Contra. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S & A File No. 357 of 1911

Serial No.	
	Orders passed on separate file Muz. 549-11/12. Subd 4.4.12
	A separate Muz. file has been opened and a circular ~~issued~~ put up in that file. Subd for orders. CgR (139) 2/4/12
	Seen. This file may be ~~filed~~. returned to Br II Subd 4.4.12
	Br II Subd. 4.4.12 Jm 4/4/12 b
	May this be recorded and kept in 'sus' till the information called for above is received? Reports to be seen
3	To the Comptroller and the Dy Commr Mysore Dt No. H 4166-7 dt: 30-3-12. directs that Rama Rao be allowed the full pension he is entitled to with that deducting his service as muzmi Hd clerk in the Dt office Mysore and that his pension be debited to State and Muzrai funds according to rule of proportion; and returns the pension papers to the Comptroller for verification and report.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—29-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta File No. 357 of 1911-12

Serial No.	
4.	From the Comptroller No. 213 d. 18-4-12 Pta 9844 Submits duly verified the pension application of S. Rama Rao and reports that a superannuation pension not exceeding Rs 25 p.m is admissible. It is reported that the ~~application~~ applicant was granted irregularly privilege leave for 6 days and that the Dy Commr Mysore Dt has been requested to submit direct to Govt the explanation of the officer responsible for the grant of such irregular leave. The explanation has not as yet been received. Draft sanctioning pension is subl. PM 24 3-5-12 (Illegible) Draft appd by 1st Councillor 8.5.12 MRS
5	To the Comptroller & the Dy Commr Mysore Dt No. H 4841-2 d 13-5-12 Sanctions as a special case, a superannuation pension of Rs 25/- pm to S. Rama Rao, the amount of pension being debited to "Revenue" & the Muzri funds of the Mysore Dt in the proportion of Rs 7-12-8 & Rs 7-3-4 respectively

To be posted to the Comptroller after issue 6/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12—7

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta File No. 357 of 1911-12.

Serial No.	
6	From the Comptroller No. 7293/310 dt: 30-5-12 Requests to be informed of the name of the institution from the funds of which the sum of Rs 7-3-4. debitable to the Muzrai funds. is to be paid. To the Muzrai Branch for favour of a note DM 2-47 6.6.1912 (illegible) Dr VII) Mysore Dist No. 25 8/6/12 The Mysore District Muzrai Establishment is paid from the funds of the Sri Chamarajeswara Swami temple at Chamarajanagar, and so the Muzrai portion of the Pension is debitable to these funds; at any rate for the present. Dr II). 8/6/12 Draft sent. DM 2-47 10.6.1912 (illegible) 11/6/12
7	To the Comptroller. No H 5-363 Dr 14-6-12 States that the sum of Rs 7-3-4

NOTE—Contn.-sheet.

24

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

~~Sta~~ File No. 357 of 1911

Serial No.

debitable to the funds of the Chamarajeswara Swami upte at Chamarajanagar.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

DIARY No. 2240

1911-1912
SECTION Sta
FILE No. 357-11
SERIAL No. 1.

Please transfer to the 5223 annuity 1-12 2

M. N. Krishnarao Esq
B.A

Financial Secretary
to the Government of
Mysore

Respected Sir

I beg leave to represent that I was retired on the 4th July 1911 from the post of Sheristadar of Gundlupet Taluk, Mysore District, on Rs 50, with a Continued Service of 36 years as follows:-

Mootchee on Rs 10/ in the Cafe Ashtagram Division Commissioners office and the Mysore District office for 6-11. 4-4-0
from 1st July 1875 to 31st May 1882
Clerk in the Registration and the Treasury Departments of the Mysore District office on Rs 12, Rs 15 + Rs 20 successively from the 1st June 1882 to the 1st August 1893 - 11-2-0

Mysore District Huzur
Head Munshi on Rs 30 + 35 from
1st August 1893 to the end of
November 1901 — — — 8-4-0

Taluk Sheristadar on
Rs 40 + 50 from 1st December
1901 to 30th June 1911 — — 9-7-0

Total 36-0-0

Without any solicitation
on my part I was a clerk in
the Mysore District Registry
office was ordered by the Deputy
Commissioner and District Registrar
in August 1893 to do the duties of
Huzur Head Munshi in his own
office on Rs 30/ and I was assured
by the then Huzur Office that
it would be in Continuation of
Government service and Subsequently my pay was specially
raised to Rs 37 in 1898 under his orders
of Mr. A. Srinivasachariar then
Huzur Secretary in recognition
of my zealous service there. It was

entirely in obedience to the Deputy Commissioners orders that I worked for those 8 years and 4 months as Murrai Head Munshi and I would have respectfully declined to take up that appointment had I not been assured that it would be in Continuation of Government Counting for pension.
Service. Thus obedience to order of great authority cannot now subject me to entire loss of nearly ~~8~~ 8½ years long and arduous service and eventual Curtailment of a large portion of my pension, the main prop of my living in my present old age.

With reference to my Inferior Service of 6 years and 11 months I have to represent that it was nothing but sheer misfortune that I bore that permanent designation for so many years although I was throughout doing the duties of a clerk to the appreciation

of my Superiors and I was on several occasions drawn accepting allowances for learning varied in - posts of Rs 15/ and even Rs 25/-, and further I humbly beg to state that Records would testify to my having worked even single handed, Mostcheeras Peons, in May 1882 for several days in the whole English Department of the District office, when a few of the clerks were otherwise Engaged and the others laid up by Cholera - I had long before passed the Matriculation Examination and had gone up for the F. A. Examination also. Such Service though laid for as Superior, I beg to submit ~~that~~ cannot fall short of meriting the indulgence of being treated as Superior under Article 293 of the Mysore Service Regulation.

But unfortunately for me the Comptroller was pleased to strike off these 6 years and 11 months

as Inferior Service, and those 8 years and 4 months in the Muz-
-rui department as Nonyudai-
-fying and thus deprive me of
full $15\frac{1}{4}$ years, and to take ac-
count of only the remaining $20\frac{3}{4}$
years, and adjudge thereon one
third pension for my very hard
-earned 36 years' Service, and
that for no fault on my part.

I have grown old and in-
capacitated, the best part of my
life from 20 to 56 having been
devoted to Serve Government
heart and Soul, and I beseech
your justful honor to judge whether
at the end of Such Continuously
long and faithful Service I only
deserve to be turned out on one-
-third pension only for the Subsistance
of myself and my large family
consisting of helpless little children
with no other Source of living

but what I could obtain from the bountiful hands of Government. The designation of Revenue & Food-memorandable for nearly 7 years though I all along worked as much at least as a Clerk could. Government has very generously provided indulgent treatment and humbly Crave for the merciful Extension of such indulgence in my unhappy case.

In Conclusion I may state the superior Service of 20 years and 9 months as established now in Comptroller's office may, as your honor may deem fit be caused to be supplemented either by the Muzrai Service of 8 1/3 years or by the superior Service of nearly 7 years, both of which are entitled for your honors generous and merciful Consideration, to make the whole period eligible for half pension Rs 25/- and Secure me

from distress in my last days.

I beg leave to remain

Respected Sir

Your honor's most obedient

Servant

S. Ramachar

Retired Taluk Sheristadar.

Mysore

23rd / 30th December

1911

190

From

The Dy - Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Jnl - Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 8th January 1912

Despatched

Received

General No. 325

No.

Enclosure No. one & file

Recommends the grant of pension to Inzrai Officials in general, & the grant of full pension to S. Rama Rao, retd Shirastedar, Gundlupet Tk in particular

DIARY No. 2314
1911-1912
No. 357-11
No. 2

GPB—70 reams—27-12-09

9

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

Dated Mysore 8th January 1912.

From

M.Kantaraj Urs Esquire B.A.

Deputy Commissioner- Mysore.

To

M.N.Krishna Rao Esquire B.A.

Offg. Financial Secretary to the Government

Of Mysore- Bangalore.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the entire file of papers returned by the Comptroller with his memo No241 dated 18.12.11 requesting revision of the pension application of S.Rama Rad late Shetistedar of the Gundlupet Taluk, on the lines pointed out in his memo (a copy of which is herein enclosed for your kind perusal) I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. The applicant has put in a total service of 36 years of which 6 years and 11 months is inferior and the rest viz. 29 years and 1 month is superior. Out of this superior service he has worked for 8 years and 3 months and 29 days in the Huzrai department as Head clerk of my office. The comptroller has wholly disallowed this Huzrai service as non-qualifying and has returned the pension application for revision accordingly.

3. In this connection I beg to bring to the notice of Government the hard lot which falls to the share of the poor clerks who serve in the Huzrai branch of the District and Taluk offices. This question was engaging my attention for some years and I was waiting for an opportunity to approach the Government for redressing a real grievance in the public service. A concrete instance having now come up for solution, I beg to bring to the notice of Government how the present practice of excluding the officials employed in the District & Taluk offices for

for carrying on Muzrai work from the benefits of the ordinary pension rules, works as a great hardship, how it is calculated to impair the efficiency of public service and especially the Muzrai service, and why a change in the system is immediately called for.

4 For all practical purposes, the Muzrai service may conveniently be classed under three heads viz., 1. Service in the Secretariat and in the offices of the Muzrai Superintendent and Comptroller. 2. Clerical service in the District and Taluk offices and 3. Service rendered in temples, chatrams and similar muzrai institutions. These three kinds of services are treated differently though the nature of the work devolving on them is more or less the same. In the case of the officials employed in the Secretariat etc., I do not know from what source their pay and allowances are met, whether from the provincial or Muzrai funds. I But I learn that the same concessions as are shown to the officials paid from provincial funds are extended to them.

5. In the case of servants directly employed for service in the Muzrai institutions such as temples, chatrams etc., the appointments are hereditary in the family. The superannuation rules are not applicable to them. They are permitted to remain in service as long as they are able to discharge the duties without giving room to any complaint, and when they retire their appointments are as a matter of fact given to their sons or any of their nearest relations. Thus it will be seen that the class of officials coming under Nos. 1 and 2 have not only all the advantages of pukka Government service, but even more in the case No.3. The case of the lot of the poor officials employed in the District and Taluk offices is quite different. They have neither the advantages of the pension rules as in the case of No. 1 nor the concessions enjoyed by the servants employed directly in the Muzrai institutions.

6. The remarks of the Comptroller that the service put in by the official under reference in the Muzrai department does not

not count towards service for pension has taken me by surprise. If the clerical work connected with the administration of Muzrai affairs in the District and Taluk offices has to be carried on efficiently, men possessing some general educational qualification have to be necessarily employed. But such men can not be got unless they have prospects of promotion and of free transfer to Provencial service with the prospect of getting pension in their old age in recognition of hard work done by them. Taking one instance, I shall show how it is difficult to make efficient arrangement for the despatch of Muzrai work without resorting to free interdepartmental transfers. The Muzrai work in this district is very heavy entailing a lot of intelligent English correspondence. The Head clerk must be a man of some experience in office work possessing requisite educational qualifications. Such a man has to be necessarily drafted from other departments.

As the appointment carries a pay of Rs.40 men who draw Rs.30 or 35 and who have done good work in other departments are generally appointed for the post. Similarly the good services rendered by the Muzrai head clerk should be suitably recognised by giving him promotion and as there are no higher appointments in the Muzrai branch, he is taken to the Revenue line when vacancies occur. The present efficiency of the Muzrai work in the district and Taluk offices is mainly due to the inducement that is being held out to these men by way of promotion and transfer to provencial service and vice versa. For all practical purposes no distinction is made between service in the Revenue department and that in the local fund Municipal, maramat, and Muzrai departments, so far as promotions and transfers from one department to the other are concerned. This procedure is being followed from a very long time in order that the efficiency of all the departments under the Deputy Commissioner, may be maintained at an uniform level and that no one particular department may possess greater attractions for the officials than others. I believe the same procedure exists in the other districts also.

7. The Government having undertaken the responsibility of maraging the afairs of the Muzrai institutions in the State in an efficient condition and made liberal grants from State Funds for some of these institutions, I believe they expect the Deputy Commissioners to make efficient and satisfactory arrangements for carrying on the Muzrai administration in their respective districts by employing competant men in the District and Taluk offices, an in the case of Revenue and other departments paid from provencial fund.. But how can we expect the same standard of efficiency in the Muzrai department so long as the officials employed are labouring un er a serious disadvantage.?

8. There are in this district several cases of this nature in which the officials belonging to the Revenue, Judicial, Local fund and other departments have been transferred to the Muzrai department and vice versa and the question of their pension will err long come up before Government for consideration. Till very recently it was not known that the officials working in the Muzrai department had this serious disability attached to their service. Further so far as I know nowhere has it been said in unmistakable terms that the Muzrai service should not count towards service for pension. But on the other hand, it was generally understood that if an official had put in some years of Muzrai service somewhere in the middle of his pensionable Government service, this period would count towards service for pension. On this understanding free transfers were, and are, being made from one department to another in the name of 'in the interests of public service'. In these circumstances officials themselves cannot in the least be held responsible for their transfer from pensionable to the Muzrai service and it is therefore cruel to inflict in the case of such officials the disability which the Comptroller has now pointed out.

9. Some years ago similiar diability was attached to service under Local fund and Municipalities but the Government graciously took the subject into their kind consideration and made all

all the rules in the service Regulation applicable in the case of these officials also by ordering the recovery from the funds concerned pensionary contribution and treated the service as pensionable. Similar concession is what is wanted in the case of the Muzrai officials employed in the District and Taluk offices and unless this is done, it is impossible to expect efficiency in this branch of service. I would therefore most strongly urge that the Government may be pleased to take up this vexed question at once and decide it not only in the interest of poor and hard working officials but in that of the efficient administration of the Muzrai matters in the districts. For the time being there may be difficulties in working out the details, in framing rules, and in finding out ways and means for meeting the charges on account of pension, but the question is one of such vital importance that the temporary difficulties are as nothing when compared with the blessing, the solution of this knotty and vexacious problem is calculated to confer on public service.

10 Coming now to the official whose pension application has been returned by the Comptroller for revision, a kind perusal of the pension application and the service register will reveal that the best part of the official's service viz36 years has been spent in Government service and that he was drawing pay at the rate of Rs.50 a month, for four years just before retirement. But for the eight years of unfortunate Muzrai service he would be entitled to a pension of Rs.25 a month. If he was aware that the Muzarai service would not count towards pension, he would not have given his consent to his transfer from pensionable service nor would the authorities have compelled him to accept the post. In accepting the Muzrai appointment the official has simply obeyed the order of the then Deputy Commissioner, who must have made the appointment in the interest of public service, and I do not see how the official can now be held responsible for it and why he should now be asked to forego a portion of his hard earned pension. By

By deducting these eight years out of the total service, he will get only a pension of Rs. 16 per month. A harder case than this, it is impossible to conceive.

/ Pending orders of Government on the general question herein raised viz. to treat the Muzrai service on a par with local fund and Municipal service and to make it pensionable, I would respectfully request that the pension application of the official may be sanctioned for the full amount in consideration of the arduous and honest service of 36 years put in by the official, the proportionate amount of pensionary contribution being at the same time ordered to be recovered from the Muzrai funds from which the pay of the official for the period in question was paid.

/2. If the pension now recommended is not sanctioned as also the general concession proposed by me, it will discourage not only the officials who are now working in the Muzrai branch but all those who have put in some service under it. The result of such a state of feeling in the minds of the officials employed in the Muzrai department can easily be imagined.

/3. If I have convinced the Government of the reasonableness of my proposal, nay, the imperative necessity for redressing a grievance that remained unnoticed till now, the question of funds need not deter us. If there is any difficulty- and I I do not foresee any- in recovering the pensionary contribution from the funds of the institutions, the amount may in the first instance be deducted from the Provencial grant and the balance credited to the institutions concerned, as this charge is intended to help the poor officials in their old age, who worked for the sake of these institutions.

I have the honor to be

sir,

Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

Comptroller's Memo No. 241/9844 dated 18.12.11.

Pension papers of S.Rama Rao late Seristedar, Taluk Office Gundlupet received with his No.3642 dated 6.12.11.

Returned with enclosures.

1. The privilege leave enjoyed by the applicant from time to time may also be included in the leave statement.

2. The applicant's service from 2.8.87 to 30.11.01 in the Muzrai department does not count for pension vide Art. 94 M.S.R Article 370 (8) allows the concession of counting the service rendered before his transfer to the Muzrai department, but not of counting services in that department. So this period may be shown as non-qualifying.

3. By neglecting the service rendered in the Muzrai department the applicant's total qualifying service comes to 27 years 8 months and one day of which 6 years and 11 months are inferior and the balance of 20 years and 9 months and 1 day superior, for which he will be entitled to a granting of Rs.3 and a pension of Rs. 16-10-8P.M. respectively.

(20/60 x 50/1)

4. Col.6 on the 3rd page of the pension application has been filled in.

5. Explaration for the delay in the submission of this cation may be noted in brief at foot of the first page information of Government.

Necessary corrections as pointed above may be made on th ~~and~~ 2nd and 3rd pages of the pension application and retur very early with all the enclosures.

The applicant has been given an A.P. of Rs. 15 P.M. with e from 1.7.11 as recommended in his above.

sd. S.Sha

"C o p y " Asst. Comptro

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

16

G.R.S. 28.]

File No. 25

Serial No. 3

Diary No. of letter replied to 2314

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

, *the* .. 19

Date of issue 30-3-12

Signed by W L Narasimha Rao

READ—

1 Petition dated the 30th Decr 11 from S. Rama Rao late Sheristadar of the Gundlupet Taluk praying for the grant of full pension either by including the Service rendered by him in the Mysore branch of the Dt office or treating his Service as mutchi as "Superior"

2 Letter No. 325 dated the 8th January 1912 from the Deputy Comr Mysore Dt Submitting the pension application

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—10,000—19-4-10

copied MN 29/3/12

application of Sri Rama Rao together with connected papers returned by the Comptroller for revision with the omission of the muzrai Service and recommending that under the circumstances explained the Service rendered by Rama Rao in the Muzrai branch of the Dt office and Several others in the Dt and Taluk offices may be treated as qualifying for pension.

Order thereon. No. H 4166-7930-3-12

Govt are pleased to direct that Sheristadar Rama Rao be allowed the full pension he is entitled to without deducting his service as muzrai Head clerk in the Dt office Mysore, ~~and~~ that his pension be debited to State and muzrai funds according to rule of proportions and that all future cases of a similar nature be dealt with accordingly.

2. The pension papers of Rama Rao are returned to the ~~Deputy Comm~~ Comptr for Muzrai report

Orders will be issued separately in regard to treating similar matters in a similar manner.

28/3/12

H. Secy.

To The Comptroller Mysore Dt

The Dy. Comm Mysore Dt

Copy to Muzrai Branch for note.

DMR-47
14.3.12

DIARY No. 3926 18

10/11-1912

SECTION - Sec

FILE No. 357

SERIAL No. 4

Pension Department

OFFICE OF

THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, 18th April 1912.

No. 213
P. ~~& A.~~ 9844

From

THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

Financial Dept.

SIR,

I have the honor, with reference to Article 496 of the Mysore Service Regulations, to submit for the orders of Government, duly verified and reported on, the marginally noted application for ~~Gratuity~~ Pension received with ~~docket~~ G.O. No. 41166-7 dated 30-3-12 from the Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore S&A 357-11-4

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Comptroller.

Name and designation	Amount	Class of pension ~~or gratuity~~
S. Ramaraos Cattle Khanibuan Pensioner Calicut	25	Superannuation 11/2014

From

The Comptroller of Mysore.

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVT.,

Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Subject.

Forwarding an application for Pension (Gratuity) reported on for the orders of Government on behalf of.....

.....

GPB—20 books of 100 pages each—7-7-08

Form No. 2 referred to in Articles 471 to 475 and 486, M. S. Regulations, 2nd Edition.]

16
Finl. 104

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1.	Name of Applicant	S. Rama Rao ✓
2.	Father's Name	S. Seshagiri Rao ✓
3.	Race, Sect and Caste	Madhwa Brahmin ✓
4.	Residence, showing Village	Mysore ✓
5.	Present or last employment, including name of establishment	Sheristedar, Gundlupet Taluk ✓
6.	Date of beginning of Service	1-7-~~1885~~ 1875 ✓
7.	Date of ending of Service	30-6-1911 ✓
8.	Length of Service, including interruptions	36 Y. - M. - D. ✓
	of which Superior	~~29 — 5 — 4~~ 29-0-6 ✓
	Inferior	~~3 — 7 — 23~~ 6-11-0 ✓
	Non-qualifying and interruptions	~~2 — 11 — 3~~ 0-0-24 ✓
9.	Class of Pension or Gratuity applied for, and cause of application*	Superannuation Pension
10.	[Average] Emoluments or Pay	Rs 50/- ✓
11.	Proposed Pension	Rs 25/-
12.	Proposed Gratuity	Nil
13.	Date from which Pension is to commence	1st July 1911. ✓
14.	Place of Payment	District Treasury Mysore
15.	Date of applicant's birth by Christian era†	3rd August 1853 ✓
16.	Height	5 Feet 5 Inches ✓
17.	Marks‡	A Scar between the eyebrows
18.	Date	
19.	Regd. No. 9864 (in Comptroller's Office)	P.S.O

Signature of Head of Office.
Deputy Commissioner
14 Mysore District

* If the application is for a Compensation, Pension or Gratuity, the nature of ~~the~~ change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.

‡ If there be no ostensible marks, the applicant may be referred to a medical officer for examination and the marks that may be given by that officer may be entered. These must include an impression of the ball of the applicant's left thumb made in the space for the purpose shown below.

Thumb impression of S. Rama Rao late Sheristedar, Gundlupet Taluk taken in my presence this 11th day of October 1911.

S. Rama Rao

Signature and designation.
Assistant Commissioner
Mysore District

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (showing interruption) of S. Rama Rao late Sheristedar Gundlupet Taluk

Establishment	Appointment	Pay	Acting Allowance	Date of beginning	Date of ending	Period reckoned as service Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service Y.	M.	D.	Remarks	How verified	Remarks by the Audit Officer
Commissioner's Office Revenue Department Ashtagram Div	Munshi	10		1-7-75	11-7-75	.	.	11						Service from 1.7.75 to 30.6.11 is admitted as certified to in cols 10 & 6 of this and next pages and is confirmed by the schedules filed in this office. The applicant was retired on Superannuation pension at the age of 55 yrs. 10 m 22 d as per orders of the Revenue Commissioner cited on the 3rd page. In addition to the privilege leave he has had leave without allowances for 2 y - 10 m - 9 d in superior service and for 24 days in inferior service, the former
Marahmat Gumasta	As	10/2	15/2	12-7-75	19-12-75	.	5	8						
	Munshi	10	.	20-12-75	29-2-76	.	2	11						
				1-3-76	31-12-78	2	10	0	2	10	.	Leave without allowances.		
				1-1-79	7-1-79	.	.	7						
Assistant Record Keeper English Department Munshi	As		25	8-1-79	5-6-79	.	4	28				Treated as leave on loss.		
	Munshi	10	.	6-6-79	31-8-79	.	2	25						
Deputy Commissioner's Office Mysore	English Deptt Munshi	10	.	1-9-79	21-12-81	2	3	21						
				22-12-81	31-12-81	0	0	9	.	.	9	No references for payment received as L.W.A.		
				1-1-82	31-5-82	.	5	.						
Do - Registration Deptt	Gumasta	12		1-6-82	31-10-82	.	5	.						
				1-11-82	31-12-82	.	1	6	.	.	24	for November and December 1882 the applicant was paid Rs 14-5-1 probably for one month and six days. Hence the period of 24 days has been treated as Leave without allowances		
Total period of Superior and Inferior Service				1-1-83	24-8-84	1	7	24						

for and on behalf of the Governor of Mysore

Mysore District Treasury	Engg. Clerk Ag	15	.	25-8-84	14-11-84	.	2	20	✓		
Registration Dept	Gumasta	12	.	15-11-84	3-3-85	.	3	19	✓		
District Treasury Ag	Nagadigumasta	12/2	15/2	4-3-85	30-4-85	.	1	27	✓		
	do	15	.	1-5-85	18-3-86	.	10	18	✓		
Registration	Gumasta	12	.	19-3-86	19-5-86	.	2	1	✓		
District Treasury Ag	Nagadigumasta	15		20-5-86	31-5-86	.	.	11	✓		
	do	15		1-6-86	28-2-90	3	9	.	✓		
Registration	Clerk	20	.	1-3-90	24-7-91	1	4	24	✓		
Police Superintendent's office	Munshi (Ag)	25		25-7-91	21-8-91	.	.	27	✓		
Registration	Clerk	20		22-8-91	7-6-92	.	9	16	✓		
				8-6-92	7-9-92	.	3	.	✓	Privilege Leave on half pay	
				8-9-92	1-8-93	.	10	24	✓		
Mizrai HO Munshi	Dt Office Mysore	29-15		2-8-93	31-12-97	4	4	29		Treated as Qualifying under Rule 29(2)	
		35		1-1-98	25-11-01	3	10	25			
				26-11-01	30-11-01	.	.	5		aspi Sl. No. 9 of 1166-7/SR 357 HL	
				Applicant states that he received payment on a supplemental Bill.							30.3.12
Huvvur Tk	Shenbedar	40		1-12-01	30-11-02	1	.	.	✓		
		42		1-12-02	31-7-03	.	8	.	✓		
Yedatore Tk	do	42		1-8-03	31-12-03	.	5	.	✓		
Malavalli	do	42		1-1-04	30-11-04	.	11	.	✓		
		44		1-12-04	30-11-05	1	.	.	✓		
		46		1-12-05	30-11-06	1	.	.	✓		
		48		1-12-06	30-11-07	1	.	.	✓		
		50		1-12-07	30-6-08	.	7	.	✓		
Gundlupet Tk	do	50		1-7-08	30-6-11	3	.	.	✓		
	Total Service			Superior		35	11	6	0 0	214	✓
						29	0	6	2 11 3		
				Inferior		6	11	0			
						3	7	23			

received with the Service Roll

removed to count and the latter disallowed under arts 284 & 279. respectively

~~The date of this retirement is supported by a Cash pay certificate~~

and 5½ days leave on private affairs in superior service allowed to count under art 280 (a) S.R.

The date of his retirement is supported by a Cash pay Certificate.

16/4/12

Mysore Dist.

223

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. — Good ✓
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. — Nil ✓
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by applicant (*see* Chapter XIX of the M. S. Regulations). — Nil ✓
4. Explanation under Article ~~279~~ 297 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. —
5. Any other Remarks (*see* Articles ~~281~~ 294 and ~~473~~) 494 — Retired as per Rev. Commissioner's order no 9588 dated 23/26-3-1902. May be admitted
6. Specific Opinion of Head of Office, whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not (*see* Article ~~472~~ (*b*) of the M. S. Regulations). 493

(Signature of Head of Office)
Dy Commissioner
Kolar District

P
No. 9824 OF 190 —190

Bangalore dated 18th April 1902.

Certificate and Report of the Comptroller.

Certified that (~~subject to the Remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in Superior/Inferior grades, has been duly proved for 35 years, 11 months, 6 days, (29-0-6) (6-11-0).

and that a Superannuation Pension, ~~Gratuity~~, not exceeding.

Rs. 25-0-0 (Rupees twenty five only)

is admissible under Articles 276(6) 325(a) 340(b) of the Mysore Service Regulations, ~~2nd~~ 3rd Edition.

But the full amount admissible under the rules is not to be given as a matter of course, or unless the service rendered is really approved, *vide* Article ~~317~~ 337 (a) of the Regulations.

The calculations have been duly verified. The Pension, ~~Gratuity,~~ is chargeable to General revenues and Inam grain funds of the Mysore Dy in the ratio of Rs 17-12-8 & Rs 7-3-4 respectively.

The applicant was granted privilege leave for 6 days from 25.5.03. This is irregular in as much as he had not rendered 6 months of service from the date of his last return from privilege leave of 21 days from 4.12.02. as required by art: 177 M.S.R. ~~His service~~ This period of 6 days has been treated as leave on private affairs to which he was entitled at the time and the grain allowance drawn by him at Rs. 21/- p.m (half pay) will if so directed by Govt be recovered from his pension. The Dy Comm has to avoid delay been requested to forward direct to Government explanation of the officers responsible for the grant of such irregular leave ~~as~~ as required in G.O No. H 2376-416/S 188-10-3 & 12.11.10.

for Comptroller.

16/4/12

23

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 357-4

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to 3926

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

7C 4841-2

Sta 357-11-5

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Sta.)

, *the* 19

Date of issue 13. 5. 12

Signed by Mr. Shamanna

READ—again:—

Govt Pro. No. 7C 4166-7 /Sta 357-11-3 dt. the 30th March 1912 directing that, as a special case, S. Rama Rao late Sheristadar of the Gundlupet Taluk be allowed the full pension he is entitled to without deducting his service as munzvi Head clerk in the Dt office Mysore, and that his pension be debited to State and Munzvi funds accordingly.

ORDER THEREON.—No.

SUBJECT.

GPB—10,000-29-9-10

according to rules of proportions.

2. Letter No. 213/Pta 9844 dated the 18th April 1912 from the Comptroller to Govt. Submitting duly verified and reported on the pension application of S. Rama Rao. accordingly.

Order thereon.

As a Special case, under the provisions of art: 501. M. S. R., the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja are pleased to sanction the payment of a Superannuation pension of Rs 25/- only p. mn to S. Rama Rao in question with effect from the 1st July 1911, the amount of pension being debited to General revenues and Muzri funds of the Mysore Dt. in the proportion of Rs 17-12-8 and Rs 7-3-4 respectively.

2 The applicant was granted irregularly privilege leave

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

Leave for 6 days from 25-5-03
It is now commuted into
Leave on private affairs to
which he was entitled then
and the excess leave allce
wrongly drawn by him
during the period at Rs 21/-
p.m. Shd be recovered
from his pension.

Jr
6/5/12
Jr H. Secretary

DWR 24-7-09
6.5.12

To The Camphold with
copy of the 1st page of
the pension applx
The Dy. Comr Mysore

8/5/12

GPB—10,000—22-2-11

DIARY No. 4482 26

1911-12

SECTION S&A

FILE No.

SERIAL No. 6.

It is requested that in future communications on the subject, the No. and date of this letter, and the distinguishing letters below the number as given opposite, may be quoted and addressed to the Comptroller, Mysore Government.

No. 7293/310

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVERNMENT,

Dated Bangalore, the 30 M A Y *1912.*

FROM

J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, ESQ., M.A.,
F.R.A.S., etc.,
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

K. R. Sreenivasa Iyengar Esq., M.A.,
Offg. *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
General and Revenue *Departments.*

SIR,

Adverting to Government Order No. Fl:4841-2/S.A.357-11-5 dated 13th May 1912 sanctioning a superannuation pension of Rs. 25/- per mensem to one S. Rama Rao, late Sheristedar of the Gundalpet Taluk Rs. 7-3-4 thereof being debited to Muzrai Funds, I have the honour to request you to be so good as to intimate the name of the institution from the funds of which the sum of Rs. 7-3-4 is to be paid.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Comptroller.

Rec.—Gl. 17]

.....Department.

19/2-

FROM

J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, ESQ., M.A.,
F.R.A.S., etc.,
Comptroller to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

.....ESQ.,

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
.....*Dept.*

Dated

Despatched 30 · 5-12

Received

No. 7293/310

Subject matter.

Requesting to intimate the name of the institution from the Funds of which the Sum of Rs. 7-3-4 is to be paid

GPB—2,500—25-10-10

27

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 57-1

Serial No. 7

n/b

Diary No. of letter replied to 4482

No. R 5363

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Sta.)
, *the* 19 .

To The Comptroller. & 14 June 12

Sir,

Date of issue 14-6-12

Signed by W Shamanna

Adverting to your letter No. 7293/310 dated the 30th May 1912 requesting to be informed of the name of the institution from the funds of which the sum of Rs 7-3-4 out of the pension payable to S. Rama Rao, late Sheristadar of the Gundalpet Taluk, is to be paid; I am directed to state that the sum is

over.

SUBJECT.

debitable to the funds of the Sri Chamarajeswara Swami temple at Chamarajnagar, wherefrom the Mysore Dt Muzrai establishment is paid.

I have etc

16/11/12

for Tl Secy.

DMR 247 (Mr. 9)
10-6-1912

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—26-9-10